

Memorandum

TO: Lea Simvoulakis

Planning Division

FROM: Joe Dyke

Public Works

SUBJECT: SEE BELOW

DATE: 09/12/14

Approved Date 9/12/14

SUBJECT:

Samaritan Court Medical Center

PW NO. 3-02802 (PDC14-008)

We have completed the review of the traffic analysis for the subject project. The project consists of constructing a 69,250 s.f. medical office building and associated 3.5-story stand-alone parking structure on a vacant site. The proposed development is located at south side of Samaritan Court and is projected to add 208 a.m. peak hour trips and 346 p.m. peak hour trips.

ACCESS

Access to the site will be provided from Samaritan Court. A new traffic signal will be installed at the intersection of Samaritan Court and Samaritan Drive.

The project site would be served by two driveways along Samaritan Court. The southwest driveway at the end of Samaritan Court is a full-access driveway that would provide direct access to the proposed parking garage, loading area and drop-off area. For the purpose of the site access analysis, the northeast driveway on Samaritan Court was assumed to be an exit only driveway, since it would serve the drop-off area for the medical office building. Accordingly, the drop-off area should be labeled one-way and the driveway should be labeled exit only. Based on this site access configuration, all inbound and most outbound project traffic would access the project site via the full access driveway at the end of Samaritan Court.

ANALYSIS

Project traffic impacts and transportation level of service (LOS) have been calculated using Traffix, the City of San Jose and the Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program (CMP) approved software.

City of San Jose Methodology: Thirteen (13) signalized intersections were analyzed for the AM and PM peak commute hours using TRAFFIX and conforming to the City of San Jose Level-Of-Service (LOS) Policy impact criteria. The results indicate that the intersection of Union Avenue and Camden Avenue would operate at an unacceptable LOS E during the PM peak hour of traffic under background conditions and would continue to do so under background plus project conditions. However, measured against the City of San Jose level of service impact

Planning and Building 09/12/14

Subject: Traffic Analysis for PDC14-008

Page 2

criteria, the addition of the project traffic would not result in a significant impact at this intersection because the average critical delay would increase by less than four (4) seconds as a result of the project. Therefore none of the study intersections would be significantly impacted with the addition of the project traffic. The results of the analysis are summarized in the attached Table ES-1.

Santa Clara County CMP Methodology: Six (6) signalized intersections were analyzed for the AM and PM peak commute hours using TRAFFIX and conforming to the Congestion Management Program requirements. The results indicate that all of the intersections meet the CMP LOS standard. The results of the analysis are summarized in the attached Table ES-1.

Signal Warrant Studies:

<u>Kinghurst Drive and Samaritan Drive</u>: A peak hour signal warrant analysis was performed for the intersection of Kinghurst Drive and Samaritan Drive to determine if signalization currently is justified or would be warranted on the basis of background plus project peak hour traffic volumes. The analysis revealed that the signal warrant currently is not satisfied and would not be satisfied based on AM and PM background plus project traffic volumes. Even if in the future the northbound left-turn movement at the National Avenue/Samaritan Drive intersection were eliminated and all of those vehicles currently turning left from National Avenue onto Samaritan Drive would redistribute to Kinghurst Drive, the signal warrant still would not be met under those theoretical conditions.

Samaritan Court and Samaritan Drive: A peak hour signal warrant analysis (MUTCD 2010 Edition, Part 4, Warrant 3) also was performed for the intersection of Samaritan Court and Samaritan Drive to determine whether signalization currently is justified or would be justified with the addition of project generated traffic. The analysis revealed that while the signal warrant currently is not satisfied, it would be satisfied on the basis of project PM peak hour traffic volumes. The installation of this traffic signal will reduce the average delays for vehicles turning left from Samaritan Court onto Samaritan Drive, as well as provide a new location for pedestrians to safely cross the street. This new signal may also provide an additional break in traffic flow to allow vehicles to turn left from National Avenue onto Samarian Drive.

Freeway Analysis: Sixteen (16) freeway segments of State Route 17 and State Route 85 were analyzed for possible freeway impacts. The results of the analysis indicate the project would cause no significant impact on any of the sixteen study segments under the project conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in the attached Table ES-2.

Project conditions:

- a) Installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Samaritan Drive and Samaritan Court.
- b) Removal and replacement of the existing handicap ramps at the corners of Samaritan Court and Samaritan Drive to City Standard ADA compliant ramps.
- c) Installation of a new receiving handicap ramp(s) on the north side of Samaritan Drive.

Planning and Building 09/12/14

Subject: Traffic Analysis for PDC14-008

Page 3

d) The on-site drop-off area should be labeled one-way and the southeasterly driveway should be labeled exit only.

11.

RECOMMENDATION:

With the inclusion of the above conditions, the subject project will be in conformance with both the City of San Jose Transportation Level of Service Policy (Council Policy 5-3) and the Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program. Therefore, a determination for a negative declaration can be made with respect to traffic impacts.

If you have any questions, please call me or Keith Gaxiola at extension 56896.

JD:kg

C: Karen Mack
Zahi Khattab, DOT
Jessy Pu, Town of Los Gatos
Traffic Consultant

Project Engineer

Development Services Division

Samaritan Court Medical Office Building

Table ES 1 Intersection Level of ServiceSummary

וונכוסנר	intersection Level of ServiceSummary		,												
				Existing		Existing + Project	Project	Background	nnd	Ä	ackgrou	Background + Project	್ಟರ	Future	စ
				Avg.		Avg.	1	Avg.			E. C. S. S.	ncr. In		Avg.	V.
Study		Peak	Count	Delay		Delay		Delay		Delay	່ວັ	Crit. Delay	Incr. In	Delay	
Number	Number Intersection	Hour			SOT	(sec.)	SOT	(sec.)	SOT	(sec.) L	SOT	(sec.)	Crit. V/C	(sec.)	SOT
~	Bascom Ave & Camden Ave *	AM	10/02/12	50.2	Ω	50.3	۵	50.2	۵	50.3	۵	0.1	0.001	51.1	Ω
		Ρ	09/13/12	50.3	Ω	50.7	۵	50.3	Δ	50.7	Ω	7.0	0.007	51.6	Δ
N	Bascom Ave & SR85 NB Ramps [▼]	AM	10/02/12	19.8	ω	20.2	ပ	20.3	Ö	20.7	ပ	0.4	0.012	20.8	ပ
		Z	09/13/12	21.6	O	27.9	O	21.5	ပ	21.8	ပ	0.6	0.015	21.9	ပ
ო	Bascom Ave & SR85 SB Ramps *	AM	10/02/12	21.2	ပ	21.9	ပ	21.4	ပ	22.2	ပ	0.7	0.018	22.5	ပ
tendroni sementi ve se marva intende se		Σď	09/13/12	26.9	ပ	27.1	ပ	26.2	ပ	26.7	ပ	0.5	0.025	27.2	ပ
4	Bascom Ave & Samaritan Dr *	AM	10/02/12	39.5	۵	41.4	Δ	39.9	Δ	42.5	Ω	2.5	0.035	44.0	Δ
		Z	09/06/12	37.6	Δ	4. 4.	۵	39.2	Δ	45.5	Ω	9.4 4.0	720.0	48.0	Δ
လ	SR85 SB Ramps & Samaritan Dr	AM	01/15/14	19.4	മ	19.4	മ	20.7	ပ	20.9	ပ	0.2	0.009	21.3	ပ
Will A DO AND		M	01/15/14	18.2	ω	18.2	മ	18.9	മ	19.0	മ	0.2	0.016	19.3	മ
ဖ	Union Ave & SR85 (S)	AM	01/15/14	23.7	o	21.8	ပ	23.0	ပ	23.1	ပ	0.2	0.012	88 88	ပ
		Z	01/15/14	21.8	ပ	27.8	ပ	22.2	ပ	22.3	ပ	2.0	0.009	22.5	ပ
7	Union Ave & SR85 (N)	AM	01/15/14	19.5	മ	19.6	മ	19.3	മ	19.5	മ	0.1	0.007	19.8	ω
		Μ	01/15/14	18.8	മ	19.0	മ	18.2	മ	18.3	മ	0.2	0.005	18.6	ω
80	Union Ave & Camden Ave *	AM	10/02/13	52.1	۵	52.2	۵	53.9	۵	53.9	۵	0.0	0,001	8 <u>.</u> 8	Δ
		Z	09/13/12	58.9	ш	59.3	ш	60.1	ш	60.4	ш	5:0	0.005	62.7	ш
13	Winchester Blvd & Lark Ave	AM	05/23/12	21.1	ပ	21.2	ပ	48.5	۵	49.1	Ω	9.0	0.003	54.7	۵
NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR		₫	05/23/12	16.2	മ	16.5	М	16.2	മ	16.3	മ	0.2	0.007	16.9	മ
4	SR 17 SB Ramps & Lark Ave	AM	05/23/12	27.8	ပ	27.9	ပ	27.8	ပ	28.0	ပ	0.2	0.007	28.4	ပ
		푎	05/23/12	32.0	ပ	32.2	ပ	36.6	Δ	37.1	Δ	0.7	9000	39.1	Δ
15	SR 17 NB Ramps & Lark Ave	ΑM	05/23/12	18.6	മ	19.0	മ	19.5	മ	19.9	മ	1.0	0.012	20.5	O
		PM	05/23/12	16.3	മ	16.6	മ	17.4	മ	17.8	മ	0.3	0.012	18.2	മ
9	Los Gatos Blvd & Lark Ave *	AM	05/22/12	32.8	ပ	33.6	O	39.5	Δ	4.2	۵	2.5	0.016	4	Δ
		8	09/05/12	35.2	۵	37.1	۵	41.2	۵	46,4	۵	5.6	0.057	49.8	Δ
17	National Ave & Los Gatos-Almaden Rd	AM	01/16/14	17.7	ω	17.9	m	16.5	ω	16.7	മ	0.4	0.004	16.8	ω
•		Σ	01/16/14	8.5	∢	9.1	∢	8.2	∢	8.8	∢	0.9	0.009	ω ώ	4
Notes:															
* Denotes	* Denotes CMP intersection														





















Samaritan Court Medical Office Building

Table ES 2 Freeway Segment Level of Service Summary

Freeway Segment SR 17 Saratoga							Mixed-Flow	W			\$5000000000000000000000000000000000000	OH.	HOVLane				Mixed	Mixed-Flow	N	YOH	ane
reeway S				Peak		# of □ Ca	Capacity	100 State	2000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00		Avo. # of	ű	V Control of the Cont	Section 1		Tess.	O CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER, THE OW	7		/0	200000000000000000000000000000000000000
	egmont		Direction	Direction Hour Speed	/8/			Volume/a/ Density		ds son				Volume/a/ Density LDS		٠,	Volume Cap	Capacity Impact?	sact? Volume		city Imp
											-										
	Saratoga	to Lark Ave	œ Z	AM.	4	•								1	ı						
Sec.	A PRINCIPAL OF THE PRINCIPAL OF T	W. Steller, M. W. Steller, M. M. Schaller, M. Schaller, S. Steller, S. Steller		Σ	99	24								1	ı						
SR 17	Lark Ave	to SR 85	88 83	AM	3		4,400	4,233						1	1			0.1%			
				PW	88									1	1						
SR 17 SF	SR 85	to San Tomas / Camden	NB BB	AM	52			6,564	ender in the second	e de la companya de l	100000	000000	The second		-	3000		0.1% A	QV QV		
- 1				PM	99	3								ı	ì						
SR 17 SR	San Tomas / Camden to Hamilton	to Hamilton	æ	Æ	83		5 006,5	5,404						1	1				Q		
				Ž	88	හ ස			۲.	o	1	ı	1	1	1	*	24	V %50	9	ı	Ş
SR 85	Camden Ave	to Union Ave	S S	AM	ន	2				000000000000000000000000000000000000000	400000	4	To you want	58.3	T.	STATE STATE OF	30000000	40.0 Valorities			
				a	92	2								8.0	∢						
SR 85 U	Union Ave	to S. Bascom Ave	0) Z	Ą	13		4,400							80.3	u			0,3% N			
				Z	99		4,400 3							6.0	<						
SR 85 S.	S. Bascom Ave	to SR 17	Ω̈	₩	1	4		2,454		4 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C	A COLON	To the same	1,593	88.5	# 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Automobile.		0.1% N	NO	02%	
				Ā	99									7.1	∢						
SR 85 SF	SR 17	to Winchester Blvd	82	₹	48		4,400	3274						102.4	F						
		A contract of the contract of		ě	99	V 4	4,400							1.41	m						
SR 17 Hz	Hamilton	to San Tomas / Camden	SS SS	AM	67]	1	Control description	NO. 00 P. C.	September 1	Andrea Collins	e and the same	200
2002				Σď	8	6					1			ı	ŧ						
SR 17 SP	San Tomas / Camden to SR 85	10 SR 85	88	₹	88		6,900 3,														
				M	99									•	1						
SR 17 SF	SR 85	to Lark Ave	SB	AM	99	۲۱ 4		2,793	212		1		1	1	ı	No.	district and	0.3% N	NO ON	Target and the second s	e de la companya de l
į.	The second section of the second seco	Walter to the Control of Control		PK	20									ı	ŧ						
SR 17 La	Lark Ave	to Saratoga	88	₹	8 8	۲ 4					1										
				Ž	41		4,400							•	ı						
SR 85 W	Winchester Blvd	to SR 17	SS	AM	99	2 4,						•		7.	∢						
- 6			POLICE CONTRACTOR CONT	Μď	35			4,071	58.2				·	24.1	o						
SR85 SF	SR 17	to S. Bascom Ave	88	AM	6	υ 4					29	1,650		1.7	*				ę		
				∑	&						70.	1,650		 8:	۵						
SR 85 S.	S. Bascom Ave	to Union Ave	SB	AM	88	2 4,				Co Atlanta	67 1	1,650		7.0	A		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	0.2% N	No de la company	SOLVE	Skalinass
3				M	14		4,400 2,	2,815			70 1	1,650	•••	32.2	۵						
SR85 Un	Union Ave	to Camden Ave	es	AM	99	α 4			2.5			1,650		5.3				0,1% N	8		
				₹	8	¥ ∾					٠ 2	1,650		33.2	9						

Hexagon Transportation Consultants, Inc.











C=





